EXCEPTION REPORT #10

HP observed inconsistencies during a review of the Pre-Order Business Rules Version 2.8.1 (LSOG 3) and the Pre-Order EDI Guide Version 2.8.1.

<u>Issue</u>

- A. On the 5.17 CCSR EDI Example, the CCNA field is depicted as mapped to N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the CCSR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- B. On page 9 in the Business Rules for CCSA, DATASIZE is identified as a valid data element. DATASIZE is not found in the EDI Guidelines or the 5.18 CCSA EDI Example. It is unclear whether DATASIZE is valid for EDI. In addition, the EDI mapping is unclear.
- C. On page 14 in the Business Rules for ADR/ADI, TOS is identified as a valid data element. However, TOS is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.1 ADR/ADI EDI Example.
- D. On page 42 in the Business Rules for ADA/ADI, MSG is identified as a valid data element. However, MSG is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.2 ADA/ADI/RTR EDI Example.
- E. On page 54 in the Business Rules for Conversational TN Selection Inquiry, CLLI is identified as a valid data element. However, CLLI is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.1 ADA/ADI EDI Example. CLLI should be added to the EDI Guidelines for ADA/ADI.
- F. On the 5.7 CSR-CSI EDI Example, field CUSCODE is depicted to be mapped to REF*11*CUSCODE*CUSCODE. However, the CUSCODE field is not listed in the CSR-CSI Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- G. On page 111 in the Business Rules for CSA-CSI, FEATURE and FEATUREDETAIL are identified as valid data elements. However, FEATURE and FEATUREDETAIL are not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.8 CSA/CSI EDI Example.
- H. On page 114 in the Business Rules for CSA-CSI, TERS is identified as a valid data element. However, TERS is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.8 CSA/CSI EDI Example.
- I. On page 53 in the EDI Guidelines for CSA-CSI, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.8 CSA-CSI EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header or Detail and what N1 01 qualifier is valid.
- J. On page 127 in the Business Rules for DLR, FINDINGNAME is identified as a valid data element. However, FINDINGNAME is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.9 DLR EDI Example.
- K. On the 5.9 DLR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted as mapped to N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the DLR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- L. On page 131 in the Business Rules for DLA, FINDINGNAME is identified as a valid data element. However, FINDINGNAME is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.10 DLA EDI Example.

- M. On the 5.10 DLA EDI Example, field ABVNME is depicted to be mapped to N1*LYM*ABVNME. However, ABVNME field is not listed in the DLA Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- N. On the 5.10 DLA EDI Example, field DES is depicted to be mapped to IN2*21*DES. However, this is an invalid segment for ANSI 4010 and cannot be mapped. DES is mapped to N9/MSG per the EDI guidelines. It is unclear whether additional mapping needed.
- O. On page 152 in the Business Rules for PSA, LINE is identified as a valid data element. However, LINE is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.3 PSA EDI Example.
- P. On page 69 in the EDI Guidelines for PSA, field INQTYP is depicted to be mapped to SI*IQ*INQTYP. However, in the 5.3 PSA EDI Example, field TXTYP is mapped to S1 02 (SI*IQ*TXTYP). It is unclear whether INQTYP field is the correct mapping for SI with qualifier IQ.
- Q. On page 72 in the EDI Guidelines for PSA, SAST is depicted to be mapped to NX2 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header and N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.3 PSA EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header and/or Detail, what N1 01 qualifier is valid, and what segment is valid for the SAST field (N4 or NX2).
- R. On the 5.19 ISR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped to N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the DLR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- S. On the 5.11 LQB/LQE EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the LQB Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- T. On the 5.11 LQB/LQE EDI Example, field CI is depicted to be mapped to PID*X**TI*CI*CI. However, the CI field is not listed in the LQB Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- U. On page 97 in the EDI Guidelines for DDA, SAST is depicted to be mapped toN4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.5 DDA EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to NX2 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header or Detail and whether the N1 01 qualifier is valid.
- V. On the 5.6 DDR EDI Example, several fields are mapped. However, these fields are not listed in the DDR Business Rules or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether the following fields are valid: CTI, EXTCTEFFDT, TAX, CUSTTYP, SOD, NOVISIT, SAT, SUN, VISINT, VISOVER.
- W. On the 5.15 SOR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the SOR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- X. On the 5.13 LXR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped to N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the LXR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.
- Y. On page 108-109 in the EDI Guidelines fields BOX, AHN, and ROUTE are identified as a valid data elements. However, these fields are not found in the LXR/LXA Business Rules. There is mapping depicted within the LXR/LXA EDI Example. It is unclear whether these are valid fields and whether they should be added to the LXR/LXA Business Rules.

- Z. On page 245 in the Business Rules for LXA, MLT is identified as a valid data element. However, MLT is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the LXA EDI Example. It is unclear whether these are valid fields for LXA.
- AA. On page 111 in the EDI Guidelines for LXA, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.14 LXA EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to NX2 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header or Detail and whether the N1 01 qualifier is valid.

Assessment

If the Pre-Order Business Rules and the Pre-Order EDI Guidelines and Generic EDI Examples are incorrect or unclear, a CLEC cannot properly format the EDI transactions and exchange correct data with Bell Atlantic.